

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 130-076 9059 10/656,752 09/04/2003 Bradley Pesu **EXAMINER** 7590 09/23/2004 Ward & Oilvo PAIK, SANG YEOP Suite 300 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 382 Springfield Avenue Summit, NJ 07901 3742

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		_
Office Action Summary	10/656,752	PESU ET AL.	: <b>.</b> V	
	Examiner	Art Unit		_
	Sang Y Paik	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examplicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	drawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.  niner.  accepted or b)□ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	I Stage	
Attachment(s)	_			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/656,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Specification**

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains extraneous words such as "present invention", and the abstract contains more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-20, 22, 24-38, 42-46, and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al (US 6,236,807) in view of Millan (US 6,278,840) or Junkel (US 6,044,202).

Ruffolo et al shows an air freshener with a housing for holding a circuit having a light emitting diode, a heater disposed in a ceramic heater block for conducting or radiating heat,

Art Unit: 3742

electrical connectors to receive current from a power source, a container made of a translucent material such as glass for holding a volatile substance, a decorative shield, a wick inserted in the container and protruding through the heater block, the housing with a socket for holding the container, and a dome for venting out or facilitating the release of the heated substance.

However, Ruffolo et al does not show that the heater is a resistor.

Millan and Junkel show an air freshener with a resistor as the heater for providing the necessary heat to vaporize the volatile substance. Junkel also further shows a rectifier to rectify the AC current source to provide a constant DC to power the heater.

In view of Millan and Junkel, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ruffolo et with the resistor as the heater to alternatively provide the necessary power to heat the volatile substance, and further adapt with a rectifier to convert AC current to DC current to power an electrically resistive resistor.

With respect to the recitation of the aromatic substance or of a scented oil, Ruffolo et al shows the volatile substance as a fragrant liquid. And, while it does not explicitly show the hydrocarbon or scented oil, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the aromatic substance to include hydrocarbon or any other materials to provide the desired scent. Furthermore, it is noted to the applicant that the aromatic substance, which is an article or material that is worked on by the apparatus, does not limit apparatus claim (see, also, MPEP 2115).

5. Claims 3, 20, 21, 47, 48, 53, 54, and 58-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-20,

Art Unit: 3742

22, 24-38, 42-46, and 49-52 above, and further in view of Wattson (US 3,373,341) or Roland et al (US 3,386,005).

Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel shows the structure and method claimed except providing a shunt diode including a full-wave bride rectifier.

Wattson or Roland et al shows that it is well known in the art to provide a shunt diode such as full-wave bridge rectifier connected in parallel with a load to provide a constant DC current source. In view of Wattson or Roland et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ruffolo et al, as modified by Millan or Junkel, with a shunt diode such as a full-wave bridge rectifier to provide a constant DC power source from an AC power source to power an electrically resistive resistor and light emitting diode.

6. Claims 6, 23, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-20, 22, 24-38, 42-46, and 49-52 above, and further in view of Jackson (US 5,274,215) or Patel (US 5,716,119).

Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel shows the structure and method claimed except providing a fiber optical cable coupled to the light emitting diode.

Jackson and Patel shows a fiber optical cable coupled with a lighting source to provide an illumination along the fiber optical cable for aesthetic appearances. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ruffolo et al, as modified by Millan or Junkel, with a fiber optical cable to provide an illumination that would be more aesthetically appealing.

7. Claims 7, 8, 40, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-20, 22, 24-38, 42-46, and 49-52 above, and further in view of Muderlak et al (US 5,175,791).

Art Unit: 3742

Ruffolo et al in view of Millan or Junkel shows the structure and method claimed except providing an electrical thermal fuse.

Muderlak et al shows an air freshener with an electrical thermal fuse to prevent overheating. In view of Muderlak et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ruffolo et al, as modified by Millan or Junkel, with an electrical thermal fuse to prevent overheating to the heater circuit.

8. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al in view of Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al as applied to claims 3, 20, 21, 47, 48, 53, 54, and 58-66 above, and further in view of Jackson (US 5,274,215) or Patel (US 5,716,119).

Ruffolo et al in view of Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al shows the structure and method claimed except providing a fiber optical cable coupled to the light emitting diode.

Jackson and Patel shows a fiber optical cable coupled with a lighting source to provide an illumination along the fiber optical cable for aesthetic appearances. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Ruffolo et al, as modified by Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al, with a fiber optical cable to provide an illumination that would be more aesthetically appealing.

9. Claims 56 and 57 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruffolo et al in view of Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al as applied to claims 3, 20, 21, 47, 48, 53, 54, and 58-66 above, and further in view of Muderlak et al (US 5,175,791).

Ruffolo et al in view of Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al shows the structure and method claimed except providing an electrical thermal fuse.

Art Unit: 3742

Muderlak et al shows an air freshener with an electrical thermal fuse to prevent

overheating. In view of Muderlak et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art to adapt Ruffolo et al, as modified by Millan, Junkel, Wattson and Roland et al, with an

electrical thermal fuse to prevent overheating to the heater circuit.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5. 8

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 Page 6

syp